

COMPLETE SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP FILE (CSF) EVIDENCE AUDIT CHECKLIST

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 8 Environmental Services Division, Multi-Media Branch Analytical Operations Section

No con Didale	JA	[0]
Audit Number: 08-58-08 Site Name: Richards	•	lung.
Date CSF Received: 6/25/08 Site Manager: KOTA	up Her	rande
Received By: Can Olm RAS Number: 374	195	
Date of Andif 6/30/08 ULSA Number:		
(10, 812 /) M1126	08	, .
	1/12	10
Resubmitted CSF? Yes No Number of Samples:	ġ	15
Lab Name: DATA CHEM LABORATION CLP Lab Code: DA	<u>1# U</u>	 -
Lab Location: Dat Lake Thy, Itt		
		
AUDIT CHECKLIST		
CHAIN OF CUSTORY		. •
	1	
1. Custody Seal Present?	Yes	Ma
	166-	NO
2. Condition of Seal? Intact Signed Broken	_ Unsign	no
	_ Unsign	NO
2. Condition of Seal? Intact Signed Broken	_ Unsign	, ,
2. Condition of Seal? Intact Signed Broken 3. Chain of Custody Record(s) Present?	Unsign Yes V	No
2. Condition of Seal? Intact Signed Broken 3. Chain of Custody Record(s) Present? 4. Chain of Custody Record(s) Signed?	Ves_\(\sigma\) Yes_\(\sigma\)	No
2. Condition of Seal? Intact Signed Broken 3. Chain of Custody Record(s) Present? 4. Chain of Custody Record(s) Signed? 5. Chain of Custody Record(s) Dated?	Yes V Yes V	No No
 Condition of Seal? Intact Signed Broken Chain of Custody Record(s) Present? Chain of Custody Record(s) Signed? Chain of Custody Record(s) Dated? Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Present? Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Signed? Airbill Present? 	Yes V	No No No
2. Condition of Seal? Intact Signed Broken 3. Chain of Custody Record(s) Present? 4. Chain of Custody Record(s) Signed? 5. Chain of Custody Record(s) Dated? 6. Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Present? 7. Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Signed? 8. Airbill Present? 9. Airbill Number(s) Samples Land Cultury to Labor	Yes V Yes V Yes V Yes V Yes V	No No No No
 Condition of Seal? Intact Signed Broken Chain of Custody Record(s) Present? Chain of Custody Record(s) Signed? Chain of Custody Record(s) Dated? Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Present? Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Signed? Airbill Present? 	Yes V	No No No No
2. Condition of Seal? Intact Signed Broken 3. Chain of Custody Record(s) Present? 4. Chain of Custody Record(s) Signed? 5. Chain of Custody Record(s) Dated? 6. Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Present? 7. Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Signed? 8. Airbill Present? 9. Airbill Number(s) Samples Land Cultury to Labor	Yes V Yes V Yes V Yes V Yes V Yes V	No No No No
2. Condition of Seal? Intact Signed Broken 3. Chain of Custody Record(s) Present? 4. Chain of Custody Record(s) Signed? 5. Chain of Custody Record(s) Dated? 6. Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Present? 7. Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Signed? 8. Airbill Present? 9. Airbill Number(s) Samples Land Cultury to Labor 10. Airbill Signed? 11. Airbill Dated?	Yes V	No No No No
2. Condition of Seal? Intact Signed Broken_ 3. Chain of Custody Record(s) Present? 4. Chain of Custody Record(s) Signed? 5. Chain of Custody Record(s) Dated? 6. Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Present? 7. Traffic Report(s) or Packing List(s) Signed? 8. Airbill Present? 9. Airbill Number(s) Samples Land clumn to labor 10. Airbill Signed? 11. Airbill Dated?	Yes V	NONONONONONO

·	•
UDIT NUMBER: 08-68-08	46
ORM DC-2	•
4. Form DC-2 Present?	Yes No
5. Numbering Scheme on Form DC-2 Correct?	Yes No
6. Enclosed Documents Listed?	Yes No
7. Listed Documents Enclosed?	Yes_\ No
The state of the s	100
ORM DC-1	
8. Form DC-1 Present?	Yes No
9. Form DC-1 Complete?	Yes_V/No
0. Form DC-1 Correct?	Yes No
OCUMENT CONTROL	
1. Laboratory Documents Complete?	Yes No
2. Laboratory Documents Legible?	YesNo
1. Original Documents Included in CSF?	Yes_V No
TA INSPECTION Porm I's present (for each analytical fraction as defined by the Traffic Report/Chain of Custody Record)? Forms 2 through 8 (VOC & SVOC), Forms 2 through 10 (Pesticides), Forms 2 through 14 (Metals & Cyanide) present? Raw data present (for each analytical fraction as defined by the Traffic Report/Chain of Custody Record)? Percent Solids Form present for soil samples?	Yes No
E: If items 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 12, 14, 18, or 22 are mirrective action measures must be taken by the CSF auditomarized below.	

05/28/96

DIT NUMBER: 08	00.	76				The second secon
MMENTS AND NOTES:						
			,	· ·		
				•		
	1					
Caul Blar		6/30 Date	708			

lease attach all custody seals below:



EPA CLP ELECTRONIC DISKETTE (S)

CASE #37402 SDG #: In +12608

SITE NAME: Richardson +1 At Tarly

RPM: Kathum Umandla

DATE: 6/39/08

AUDITOR: Caux Binf

Audut -08-58-08
RAS - 37402
SDG - MH2608
Site Richardson Hat Tauly
RAM - Kathum Herrandy
Dute - 6130/08
LAB - DATA CHEM

USEPA - CLP COVER PAGE

Lab Name: DATACH	IEM LABORATORIES	Contract: E	CP-W-06-054	
Lab Code: DATAC	Case No.: 37402	NRAS No.: <u>1553.0</u>	SDG No.:	MH2608
SOW No.: ILM05.	. 4			
<u> </u>	EPA Sample No. MH2608 MH2609 MH2610	$\frac{819}{819}$	Sample ID 55033001 55033002 55033003	
· <u>Ī</u>	MH2610D MH2610S MH2611		98 <u>4</u> 985 55033004	
- - -				
- - -				
- - -				
-			ICP-AES	ICP-MS
Were ICP-AES an corrections app	d ICP-MS Interelement lied?	(Yes/N	yes <u>Yes</u>	NO
Were ICP-AES an applied?	d ICP-MS background o	corrections (Yes/N	YES,	<u>ио</u>
	e raw data generated of background correct		o) <u>NO</u>	NO
Comments:				
			,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
conditions of t than the condit hardcopy data p (or via an alte by USEPA) has b designee, as ve	this data package is he contract, both ted ions detailed above. ackage and in the corrnate means of electreen authorized by the rified by the follows.	chnically and for co Release of the dat mputer-readable data conic transmission, Laboratory Manager	empleteness, a contained submitted if approved or the Man	for other in this on diskette in advance
Signature: NA Date: 06/23/2008	8	Title: Chemist		
Duce. 00/23/200	U	- T (T ())		



SDG NARRATIVE

Case #: 37402 SDG#: MH2608

Contract #: EP-W-06-054 DCL Set ID#: 8155033

June 23, 2008

General Information

The four samples in this SDG were analyzed by methodologies contained in ILM05.4, by MOD # 1553.0. All concentration, analytical, and method qualifiers are defined in the SOW.

Holding Times

All samples were prepared and analyzed within method required holding times.

Initial and Continuing Calibration

All initial and continuing calibration verification and blank analyses were performed within the designated frequency and recoveries of the verifications and concentrations of the blanks met method acceptance criteria.

Interference Check Sample Analysis

Results for the interference check samples met method acceptance criteria.

Preparation Blanks

The absolute values of all analyte concentrations in the preparation blanks were lower than the Contract Required Quantitation Limits.

Laboratory Control Sample Analysis

Results for the analysis of the LCS met method acceptance criteria.

Matrix Spike Analysis

All matrix spike recoveries were within the limits of 75-125%

Matrix Duplicate Analysis

All matrix duplicate results met method criteria.

Serial Dilution

ICP-AES Serial Dilution results met method acceptance criteria.

Miscellaneous Comments

All calibration data is linear, please see raw data. Cooler temps were at 6 °C at time of receipt.

Example Equations

Method HS1:
$$C \times DF \times \frac{Vf}{Wi} \div S = Concentration(\mu g/g) = Concentration(mg/Kg)$$

C = Instrument value in $\mu g/L$ (The average of all replicate integrations). Vf= Final digestion volume (L) Wi= Initial digestion Weight (g) DF= Dilution Factor S=% Solids/100

Request for Quote (RFQ) for Modified Analysis

Date: April 22, 2008

Subject: Modification Reference Number: 1553.0

Title: SPLP for ICP-AES
Sample Matrix: Soil/Sediment
Fraction Affected: Metals
Statement of Work: ILM05.4

Purpose:

The Contractor Laboratory is requested to perform the following modified analyses under the Inorganic Statement of Work (SOW) ILM05.4, based on the additional specifications listed below. Unless specifically modified by this modification, all analyses, Quality Control (QC), and reporting requirements specified in SOW ILM05.4 remain unchanged and in full force and effect. The number of samples requested in this modification is not guaranteed.

Please note that accepting a modified analysis request is voluntary, and that the Laboratory is not required to accept the modified analysis. There will be no adverse effect to the Laboratory for not accepting the modified analysis request. However, once the Laboratory accepts the request for modified analysis, it shall perform the analysis in accordance with this modification and as specified in SOW ILM05.4.

The Laboratory is requested to review the modification described herein, determine whether or not it shall accept the requested modified analyses, and complete the attached response form. The Laboratory shall provide comments in response to the required changes in the designated area, in order to ensure that the modified analysis can be completed in accordance with the specifications described herein.

Notice to Contractors: Acceptance of Modified Analysis samples will not count against the monthly capacity.

Modification to the SOW Specifications:

The contract Laboratory shall extract soil/sediment samples by Method SW-846 1312 [Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure] using extraction fluid number two.

The Laboratory shall digest the SPLP extracts by preparation methods HW1 or MW1, and analyze for the Target Analyte List (TAL) by ICP-AES as indicated on the Traffic Report/Chain of Custody record.

The Laboratory shall analyze the SPLP extraction blanks as preparation blanks (PBW).

The Laboratory shall perform the Matrix Spike at the SOW specified levels.

The Laboratory shall report SPLP results as aqueous samples.

Clarifications/Revisions to the RFO for Modified Analysis:

The Laboratory shall provide raw data [laboratory bench sheets, logs, notebook pages] for the SPLP procedure. This will include the initial determination of percent solids, amount of liquid (if any) separated from the solid phase, mass of solids material, and volume of extraction fluid used.

Reporting Requirements:

Hardcopy and electronic data reporting are required as specified per SOW ILM05.4. All hardcopy and electronic data shall be adjusted to incorporate modified specifications. This includes attaching a copy of the requirements for modified analysis to the SDG Narrative. If specific problems occur with incorporation of the modified analysis into the hardcopy and/or electronic deliverable, the Laboratory shall contact the DASS Manager within the Sample Management Office (SMO) at (703) 818-4233 or via email at CCSSUPPORT@fedcsc.com for resolution.

All samples and/or fractions assigned to an SDG shall be analyzed under the same Modified Analysis requirements as established in this memorandum. The Laboratory shall not include data from multiple Modified Analyses in one SDG.

The Laboratory shall include the Modification Reference Number 1553.0 on each hardcopy data form under the "NRAS No:" header appearing on each form as well as the "NRAS No." field on the Record type 21 of the electronic deliverable (if diskette deliverable is required). The Laboratory shall also document the Modification Reference Number and Solicitation Number on the SDG Coversheet.

			•		
•		•			
Laboratory Name:					
Laboratory Comments	s:		•	٠	

USEPA - CLP

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA Sample No.

MH2608

Lab Name: DATACHEM LABORATORIES

Contract: EP-W-06-054

Lab Code: <u>DATAC</u>

Case No.: 37402

NRAS No.: <u>1553.0</u>

SDG No.: MH2608

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER

Lab Sample ID: 8155033001

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 06/03/2008

% Solids: 0.0

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): ug/L

CAS No.	Analyte	Concentration	С	Q	М
7429-90-5	Aluminum	129.	J		P
7440-36-0	Antimony	237.			P
7440-38-2	Arsenic	45.9			P
7440-39-3	Barium	685.			P
7440-41-7	Beryllium	5.6	ט		P
7440-43-9	Cadmium	5.6			P
7440-70-2	Calcium	13700			P
7440-47-3	Chromium	2.5	J	•	₽
7440-48-4	Cobalt	55,6	υ		P
7440-50-8	Copper	48.5			P
7439-89-6	Iron	562.			P
7439-92-1	Lead	455.			P
7439-95-4	Magnesium	1280	J		P·
7439-96-5	Manganese	157,			P
7439-97-6	Mercury				NR
7440-02-0	Nickel	1.0	J		P
7440-09-7	Potassium	445.	J		P
7782-49-2	Selenium	2.7	J		P
7440-22-4	Silver	5.6	J		P
7440-23-5	Sodium	5680			P
7440-28-0	Thallium	27.8	Ū`		P
7440-62-2	Vanadium	2.2	J		. P
7440-66-6	Zinc	426.			P
57-12-5	Cyanide	•			NR
					l _r
		·			1

Color	Before:	COLORLESS	Clarity	Before:	CLEAR	Texture:	
Color	After:	COLORLESS	Clarity	After:	CLEAR	Artifacts:	
Commer	its:						
			and the state of t				
-							

Olson, Roxanne

From: Kramer, Caroline [ckramer5@fedcsc.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 12:28 PM

To: Olson, Roxanne

Cc: beard.carol@epa.gov

Subject: Region 08 | Case 37402 | Lab DATAC | Issue Discrepancies with tags, jars, and/or TR/COC |

FINAL

Roxy,

Summary Start

Issue: DATAC received samples with the attached non-CLP TR/COC. The samples did not have CLP sample ID and were handwritten in with the commercial samples for this site, which DATAC is also analyzing. Resolution: Per Region 8, the laboratory will use the CLP sample IDs provided in the table below (also as a spreadsheet attached). The water samples will require two CLP IDs for the dissolved and total metals analysis.

The laboratory will note the issue in the SDG Narrative and proceed with the analysis of the samples.

CLP Sample ID	Field Sample number	Matrix	Collection date	Collection time	Analysis reques
MH2600	SC-SW-1	water	6/2/2008	13:15	TM by ICP-MS (15
,		water	*	10.15	DM by ICP-MS
MH2601	SC-SW-1	(DM)	6/2/2008	13:15	(1554.1)
MH2608	SC-SW-1	soil	6/2/2008	13:15	TM/SPLP (1553
MH2602	SC-SW-2	water	6/2/2008	14:30	TM by ICP-MS (15
		water			DM by ICP-MS
MH2603	SC-SW-2	(DM)	6/2/2008	14:30	(1554.1)
MH2609	SC-SW-2	soil	6/2/2008	14:30	TM/SPLP (1553
MH2604	SC-SW-3	water	6/2/2008	15:15	TM by ICP-MS (15
	<u> </u>	water			DM by ICP-MS
MH2605	SC-SW-3	(DM)	6/2/2008	15:15	(1554.1)
MH2610	SC-SW-3	soll	6/2/2008	15:15	TM/SPLP (1553
MH2606	SC-SW-4	water	6/2/2008	16:00	TM by ICP-MS (15
		water			DM by ICP-MS
MH2607	SC-SW-4	(DM)	6/2/2008	16:00	(1554.1)
MH2611	SC-SW-4	soil	6/2/2008	16:00	TM/SPLP (1553

Issue 2: There were no sample tags received with the samples.

Resolution 2: Per Region 8, the laboratory will note the issue in the SDG Narrative and proceed with the analysis of the samples.

Summary End

Please let me know if you have any questions or problems. Thank you,

Caroline L. Kramer ckramer5@fedcsc.com Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) 703.818.4248

This is a PRIVATE message. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete without copying and kindly advise

us by e-mail of the mistake in delivery. NOTE: Regardless of content, this e-mail shall not operate to bind CSC to any order or other contract unless pursuant to explicit written agreement or government initiative expressly permitting the use of e-mail for such purpose.

- → 6/3/2008 Phone conversation between Caroline Kramer, SMO, and Carol Bears, Region 8. Carol indicated that SMO should provide new CLP sample IDs for the samples received on 6/3 under Case 37402. Region 8 has contacted the samplers and they will be using F2L to generate CLP TR's with CLP sample IDs in future shipments. Carol also indicated that there probably were no sample tags received with the samples and that the laboratory should note that issue in the SDG Narrative and proceed with the analysis.
- → 6/3/2008 Phone conversation between Caroline Kramer, SMO, and Roxy Olson, DATAC. Roxy would like corresponding CLP sample IDs for the samples received on 6/3 with the attached TR/COC. Roxy also confirmed that no sample tags were received with the samples.

----Original Message-----

From: Olson, Roxanne [mailto:olsonr@datachem.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 1:14 PM

To: Kramer, Caroline Subject: Case 37402

Attached is the DATAC CoC with the samples on it for the above referenced case. I just left you a message on your phone regarding this. Please call me and maybe we can sort it out.

Roxy

SAMPLE TAG INFORMATION SHEET

There are no Sample Tags included with this SDG

Notations concerning the location of the Sample Tags are included in Forms DC-1,, DC-2 and in the SDG Administrative Narrative (if it is required).



SDG Administrative Narrative

Contract: $P-W-O($	0-054
Case: 37402	
SDG: MH260	<u> </u>
Set ID No.: 8/5503	3
Cooler # and temperatures of each (upon re	ceipt)
Cooler Number C08-	Arrival temperature was°C
Cooler Number C08-	Arrival temperature was°C
Cooler Number C08	Arrival temperature was°C
Cooler Number C08	Arrival temperature was°C
Cooler Number C08	Arrival temperature was°C
Cooler Number C08	Arrival temperature was°C
Cooler Number C08	Arrival temperature was°C
Cooler Number C08	Arrival temperature was°C
Cooler Number C08	Arrival temperature was°C

Communications:

Any sample receiving issues with this SDG are fully documented through the email communications which are included as a portion of this SDG Narrative and immediately follow this page. Copies of each of these email communications are also located in the communication section of this datapackage. In addition, any analytical issues pertinent to a given fraction are fully documented by the analyst in the associated narrative for the applicable fraction.

Comments:

None.

Signature:

Date: 6/04/08

Olson, Roxanne

From: Kramer, Caroline [ckramer5@fedcsc.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 12:28 PM

To: Olson, Roxanne

Cc: beard.carol@epa.gov

Subject: Region 08 | Case 37402 | Lab DATAC | Issue Discrepancies with tags, jars, and/or TR/COC |

FINAL

Roxy,

Summary Start

Issue: DATAC received samples with the attached non-CLP TR/COC. The samples did not have CLP sample ID and were handwritten in with the commercial samples for this site, which DATAC is also analyzing. Resolution: Per Region 8, the laboratory will use the CLP sample IDs provided in the table below (also as a spreadsheet attached). The water samples will require two CLP IDs for the dissolved and total metals analysis. The laboratory will note the issue in the SDG Narrative and proceed with the analysis of the samples.

CLP Sample ID	Field Sample number	Matrix	Collection date	Collection time	Analysis reques
MH2600	SC-SW-1	water	6/2/2008	13:15	TM by ICP-MS (15
		water	*		DM by ICP-MS
MH2601	SC-SW-1	(DM)	6/2/2008	13:15	(1554.1)
MH2608	SC-SW-1	soil	6/2/2008	13:15	TM/SPLP (1553
MH2602	SC-SW-2	water	6/2/2008	14:30	TM by ICP-MS (15
		water	·		DM by ICP-MS
MH2603	SC-SW-2	(DM)	6/2/2008	14:30	(1554.1)
MH2609	SC-SW-2	soil	6/2/2008	14:30	TM/SPLP (1553
MH2604	SC-SW-3	water	6/2/2008	15:15	TM by ICP-MS (15
		water			DM by ICP-MS
MH2605	SC-SW-3	(DM)	6/2/2008	15:15	(1554.1)
MH2610	SC-SW-3	soil	6/2/2008	15:15	TM/SPLP (1553
MH2606	SC-SW-4	water	6/2/2008	16:00	TM by ICP-MS (15
		water			DM by ICP-MS
MH2607	SC-SW-4	(DM)	6/2/2008	16:00	(1554.1)
MH2611	SC-SW-4	soil	6/2/2008	16:00	TM/SPLP (1553

Issue 2: There were no sample tags received with the samples.

Resolution 2: Per Region 8, the laboratory will note the issue in the SDG Narrative and proceed with the analysis of the samples.

Summary End

Please let me know if you have any questions or problems. Thank you,

Caroline L. Kramer ckramer5@fedcsc.com Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) 703.818.4248

This is a PRIVATE message. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete without copying and kindly advise

us by e-mail of the mistake in delivery. NOTE: Regardless of content, this e-mail shall not operate to bind CSC to any order or other contract unless pursuant to explicit written agreement or government initiative expressly permitting the use of e-mail for such purpose.

- → 6/3/2008 Phone conversation between Caroline Kramer, SMO, and Carol Bears, Region 8. Carol indicated that SMO should provide new CLP sample IDs for the samples received on 6/3 under Case 37402. Region 8 has contacted the samplers and they will be using F2L to generate CLP TR's with CLP sample IDs in future shipments. Carol also indicated that there probably were no sample tags received with the samples and that the laboratory should note that issue in the SDG Narrative and proceed with the analysis.
- → 6/3/2008 Phone conversation between Caroline Kramer, SMO, and Roxy Olson, DATAC. Roxy would like corresponding CLP sample IDs for the samples received on 6/3 with the attached TR/COC. Roxy also confirmed that no sample tags were received with the samples.

----Original Message----

From: Olson, Roxanne [mailto:olsonr@datachem.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 1:14 PM

To: Kramer, Caroline Subject: Case 37402

Attached is the DATAC CoC with the samples on it for the above referenced case. I just left you a message on your phone regarding this. Please call me and maybe we can sort it out.

Roxy

USEPA - CLP COVER PAGE

Contract: EP-W-06-054 Lab Name: DATACHEM LABORATORIES Lab Code: DATAC Case No.: 37402 NRAS No.: 1553.0 SDG No.: MH2608 SOW No.: ILM05.4 EPA Sample No. Lab Sample ID MH2608 8155033001 MH2609 8155033002 MH2610 8155033003 MH2610D 53984 MH2610S 53985 8155033004 MH2611 ICP-AES ICP-MS Were ICP-AES and ICP-MS Interelement (Yes/No) YES NO corrections applied? Were ICP-AES and ICP-MS background corrections (Yes/No) YES NO applied? If yes - were raw data generated before (Yes/No) NO NO application of background corrections? Comments: I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hardcopy data package and in the computer-readable data submitted on diskette (or via an alternate means of electronic transmission, if approved in advance by USEPA) has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or the Manager's designee, as verified by the following signature. Signature: Name: Neil Edwards Date: 06/23/2008 Title: Chemist



SDG NARRATIVE

Case #: 37402 SDG#: MH2608

Contract #: EP-W-06-054 DCL Set ID#: 8155033

June 23, 2008

General Information

The four samples in this SDG were analyzed by methodologies contained in ILM05.4, by MOD # 1553.0. All concentration, analytical, and method qualifiers are defined in the SOW.

Holding Times

All samples were prepared and analyzed within method required holding times.

Initial and Continuing Calibration

All initial and continuing calibration verification and blank analyses were performed within the designated frequency and recoveries of the verifications and concentrations of the blanks met method acceptance criteria.

Interference Check Sample Analysis

Results for the interference check samples met method acceptance criteria.

Preparation Blanks

The absolute values of all analyte concentrations in the preparation blanks were lower than the Contract Required Quantitation Limits.

Laboratory Control Sample Analysis

Results for the analysis of the LCS met method acceptance criteria.

Matrix Spike Analysis

All matrix spike recoveries were within the limits of 75-125%

Matrix Duplicate Analysis

All matrix duplicate results met method criteria.

Serial Dilution

ICP-AES Serial Dilution results met method acceptance criteria.

Miscellaneous Comments

All calibration data is linear, please see raw data. Cooler temps were at 6 °C at time of receipt.

Example Equations

Method HS1: $C \times DF \times \frac{Vf}{Wi} \div S = Concentration(\mu g/g) = Concentration(mg/Kg)$

C = Instrument value in $\mu g/L$ (The average of all replicate integrations). Vf= Final digestion volume (L) Wi= Initial digestion Weight (g) DF = Dilution Factor

S = % Solids/100

Request for Quote (RFQ) for Modified Analysis

Date: April 22, 2008

Subject: Modification Reference Number: 1553.0

Title: SPLP for ICP-AES
Sample Matrix: Soil/Sediment
Fraction Affected: Metals
Statement of Work: ILM05.4

Purpose:

The Contractor Laboratory is requested to perform the following modified analyses under the Inorganic Statement of Work (SOW) ILM05.4, based on the additional specifications listed below. Unless specifically modified by this modification, all analyses, Quality Control (QC), and reporting requirements specified in SOW ILM05.4 remain unchanged and in full force and effect. The number of samples requested in this modification is not guaranteed.

Please note that accepting a modified analysis request is voluntary, and that the Laboratory is not required to accept the modified analysis. There will be no adverse effect to the Laboratory for not accepting the modified analysis request. However, once the Laboratory accepts the request for modified analysis, it shall perform the analysis in accordance with this modification and as specified in SOW ILM05.4.

The Laboratory is requested to review the modification described herein, determine whether or not it shall accept the requested modified analyses, and complete the attached response form. The Laboratory shall provide comments in response to the required changes in the designated area, in order to ensure that the modified analysis can be completed in accordance with the specifications described herein.

Notice to Contractors: Acceptance of Modified Analysis samples will not count against the monthly capacity.

Modification to the SOW Specifications:

The contract Laboratory shall extract soil/sediment samples by Method SW-846 1312 [Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure] using extraction fluid number two.

The Laboratory shall digest the SPLP extracts by preparation methods HW1 or MW1, and analyze for the Target Analyte List (TAL) by ICP-AES as indicated on the Traffic Report/Chain of Custody record.

The Laboratory shall analyze the SPLP extraction blanks as preparation blanks (PBW).

The Laboratory shall perform the Matrix Spike at the SOW specified levels.

The Laboratory shall report SPLP results as aqueous samples.

Clarifications/Revisions to the RFQ for Modified Analysis:

The Laboratory shall provide raw data [laboratory bench sheets, logs, notebook pages] for the SPLP procedure. This will include the initial determination of percent solids, amount of liquid (if any) separated from the solid phase, mass of solids material, and volume of extraction fluid used.

Reporting Requirements:

Hardcopy and electronic data reporting are required as specified per SOW ILM05.4. All hardcopy and electronic data shall be adjusted to incorporate modified specifications. This includes attaching a copy of the requirements for modified analysis to the SDG Narrative. If specific problems occur with incorporation of the modified analysis into the hardcopy and/or electronic deliverable, the Laboratory shall contact the DASS Manager within the Sample Management Office (SMO) at (703) 818-4233 or via email at CCSSUPPORT@fedcsc.com for resolution.

All samples and/or fractions assigned to an SDG shall be analyzed under the same Modified Analysis requirements as established in this memorandum. The Laboratory shall not include data from multiple Modified Analyses in one SDG.

The Laboratory shall include the Modification Reference Number 1553.0 on each hardcopy data form under the "NRAS No:" header appearing on each form as well as the "NRAS No." field on the Record type 21 of the electronic deliverable (if diskette deliverable is required). The Laboratory shall also document the Modification Reference Number and Solicitation Number on the SDG Coversheet.

	•		
Laboratory Name:		-	
Laboratory Comments:			

SAMPLE LOG-IN SHEET

001/1						/	unt 159	53.U <u>. </u>	
Lab Name	nem Laboratori	es, Inc.				-		Page	of
Received By (Print Name)	NICOLE	Loret 2						Log-in Date	3/08
Received By (Signature)									
Case Number 574(D.			Sample Delive	ery Group No.	+ 260	8	NRAS Number	د
Remarks:		EPA Sample #	Aqueous Sample		Соггевроп			Rema Condition o	ırks;
			рH		nple Tag #	Ass	igned Lab#	Shipmen	it, etc.
1. Custody Seal (s)	Present/Abserit*	MH 2400	12		1			tan / ICPA	15 Water
	inacubioken	0)				<u> </u>		Dm licen	15 poster
2. Custody Seal Nos.	_Aa_	D. See						TM/10	PIAS NAHLV
	<u> </u>	B					:	DM/19	P.1918 Webs
Chain-of Custody Records	resent/Absent*	O4					· •	m/cp	ms mer
Traffic Report or Packing Lists	Pesent/Absent*	05						DM/1CP	ms poter
5. Airbill	Airbill/Sticker	04		1				m/iczan	is nuer
	Present/Absent	A A		**				DAY ICAM	s MHz
6. Airbill No.:	-n/a	88	ML			8155	033001	SPLP Metals	Sol .
		109					UR		
7. Sample Tags	Present/Alpsent*	10	+	. (<u>w</u>	<u> </u>	
Sample Tag Numbers	Listed/Not Cisted on Chain-Oi- Custody	111					1 (084		
8. Sample Condition	intar/Broken*/ Leaking*								
Cooler Temperature Indicator Bottle	Fresent/Absent*								
10, Cooler Temperature	6.								
11.Does Information on custody records,			8	A.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
traffic reports, and sample tags agree?	Ps/No*			De 4/2/4	· {				
12. Date Received at Lab	W/3/18								
13. Time Received	_840			:					
Sample Tra	ensfer Fraction							<u> </u>	
TANDA SPLP ANDIS	Area						_		
By By	By NI	:							
<i>NL</i> 00 . 1	On	1							
* Contact SMO and attack		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	· .			<u> </u>	
Reviewed By	olann	Ellen	Logbook No		plicable				,
Date	6/3/08	٥.	Logbook Pa	ge No.	plicable			6	

FULL INORGANICS COMPLETE SDG FILE (CSF) INVENTORY SHEET

LABORATORY NAME	DataChem Laboratories	s, Inc.	
CITY/STATE	Salt Lake City, UT	84123	
CASE NO.	37402	SDG NO.:	MH2608
SDG NOs. TO FOLLOW	N/A		
NRAS No.	N/A		
CONTRACT NO.	EP-W-06-054		
SOW NO.	ILM05.4		

All documents delivered in the Complete SDG File must be original documents where possible. (Reference - Exhibit B Section 2.6)

(ver	erence - Exhibit B Section 2.07		
		PAGE NOs	CHECK
		FROM TO	<u>LAB</u> <u>REGION</u>
1.	Cover Page	1 1	· V
2.	SDG Narrative	2 -	√ √
3.	Sample Log-In Sheet (DC-1)	10 6	✓ V.
4.	Inventory Sheet (DC-2)	7 8	-
5.	Traffic Report/Chain of Custody Record(s)	9 12	
	Inorganic Analysis		
6.	Data Sheet (Form I-IN)	13 10	\checkmark \checkmark
7	Initial & Continuing Calibration	<u> </u>	
	Verification (Form IIA-IN)	1+ 18	
8.	CRQL Standard	19 19	
۵	(Form IIB-IN)	20 20	
9. 10.	Blanks (Form III-IN) ICP-AES Interference Check Sample	40 40	<u> </u>
10.	(Form IVA-IN)	21 20	1
11.	ICP-MS Interference Check Sample	<u> </u>	
	(Form IVB-IN)	<u>/\/</u> #	✓
12.	Matrix Spike Sample Recovery	$\Omega = \Omega = 0$, , , ,
	(Form VA-IN)	<u>05</u> 05	<u> </u>
13.	Post-Digestion Spike Sample Recovery	A 1 /	
	(Form VB-IN)	NA	✓
14.	Duplicates (Form VI-IN)	24 24	<u> </u>
15.	Laboratory Control Sample	20 20	1
10 .	(Form VII-IN)	05 05	<u> </u>
16.	ICP-AES and ICP-MS Serial Dilutions (Form VIII-IN)	20,26	
17.	Method Detection Limits (Annually) (Form	33 - 6	
	IX-IN)	0+ 08	\checkmark V
18.	ICP-AES Interelement Correction Factors	$29 \rightarrow 0$	1
10	(Quarterly) Form XA-IN)	31 01	
19.	ICP-AES Interelement Correction Factors (Quarterly) Form XB-IN)	30 B)	V_{-}
20.	ICP-AES and ICP-MS Linear Ranges	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	(Quarterly) Form XI-IN)	32 32	\checkmark \lor .
21.	Preparation Log (Form XII-IN)	33 33	
	ζ.		7

FULL INORGANICS COMPLETE SDG FILE (CSF) INVENTORY SHEET

•	PAGE NOs	CHECK
	FROM TO	<u>LAB</u> <u>REGION</u>
22. Analysis Run Log (Form XIII-IN)	34 <u>34</u>	
23. ICP-MS Tune (Form XIV-IN)	_NA	<u> </u>
24. ICP-MS Internal Standards Relative	411	. •
Intensity Summary (Form XV-IN)	<u>NA</u>	·
25. ICP AES Raw Data	<u>35 80 </u>	<u> </u>
26. GFAA Raw Data (If Applicable)	<u> </u>	-
27. ICP-MS Raw Data	<u> </u>	
28. Mercury Raw Dta	NA	<u> </u>
29. Cyanide Raw Data	NA	
30. Preparation Logs Raw Data	<u>81</u> <u>81</u>	<u> </u>
31. Percent Solids Determination Log	82 82	<u> </u>
32. USEPA Shipping/Receiving Documents	- / A	
Airbill (No. of Shipments)	NA	
Sample Tags	<u>83 83 </u>	<u> </u>
Sample Log-In Sheet (Lab)	NA	✓ *
33. Misc. Shipping/Receiving Records		
(list all individual records)		•
Telephone Logs	NA	<u> </u>
DCL CRIR	NA NA	√
DCL SDG TR Cover Sheet	_ 84 84 -	
34. Internal Lab Sample Transfer Records and		
Tracking Sheets (describe or list)		
DCL Work Order	NA	ا
DCL COC	_ 85 86	$\overline{}$
35. Internal Original Sample Prep &		
Analysis Records (describe or list)	0	. /
Prep Records	_ <u>87 95</u> _	ν ν
Analysis Records	_ 96 118	
Description	NA NA	. 🗸
36. Other Records (describe or list)		
Telephone Communications Log	NA	✓
E-mail Communications	119 120	· V
		✓
37. Comments:		
Completed by: (CLP Mab)	∕Malissa Duggan / Doc. Ctrl.	6/24/08
(Signature)	(Print Name & Title)	(Date)
Audited By:	110,100	1/00-60
(USEPA)	LINDLY HAND	6/30/08
(Signature)	(Print Name & Title)	(Date)

FORM DC-2-2

ILM05.4





DataChem Laboratories, Inc.

Field Chain-of-Custody Record

Client Name & Addre	ess:		Project No.:	1 377.0		EUR POR	H 500-00	T Millionium			1			-	-			Page of
Tetra Tech, I	tetra Rech, Inc. 36 & South Temple, Ste. 910 100FFXT			FF XT 18974-6901		Preservation Code	Sample Matrix Code	Sample for Matrix QC	2 Can			yses F	Requ	ested				Matrix Codes: W) Water B) Bulk L) Liquid F) Filter
Salt Lake Cit	7, UT 84111		Project Name	: ,		/atio	Matri	r Ma	15		J. H.	2		2			ers	S) Soil G) Wipe C) Solid M) Media
Phone: %01 364 1064		Lower Silver Creek			reser	mple A	ple fo	Organica	1	Dissoled Ad	Iron		extraction	N		Containers	Preservation Codes:	
FAX.	4 2021		Sampler: (Signature)				Sar	Sam	-	Le	N.SS	Ferrie		+	Metals	of Co	of Cc	2) HCl to pH<2, 4°C 3) H ₂ SO ₄ to pH<2, 4°C
e-mail: Chris-hayes@ tetratech.com sam.wilkes@ tetratech.com			11 AF						olve			TE	7	0	K		No.	4) HNO ₃ to pH<2, 4°C
Field Sample Number	Site ID	Date	Time	Depth	DCL Sample Number				D'ssolved	Takal Metals	To fal	Ferris	So	SPLP	FL			5) NaOH to pH>12, 4°C 6) ZnOAc/NaOH to pH>9, 4°C
sc-sw-1	5C-5W-1	6/2/08	13:15			T	W		χ	×	x	X	X			\neg	5	Remarks
	(1	ęŧ	t i				5				^			,	-	\dashv	>	
5€-SW-Z	SC-5W-Z	6/2/08	14:30			T	W		×	×		-		x	^	\dashv	/	
1 š	2 (11	()			\vdash	5	\vdash	~	^	X	يد	×	155		-	5	
56-56-3	SC-5W-3	6/2/08	15:15			\vdash	ivi					X	-	久	×	\dashv	1	
4	n,	11	11			\vdash	5		^	X	k	$\stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow}$	X			-	5	
56-56-7	3C-SW-4	4/2/08	16:00			\vdash							-	×	X	\dashv	_	
lt.	11	11	11			-	w		八	义	x	>	4			- 1	5	
		,				-	5		_	_		-	_	×	X	_	1	
						-		-	-	-	_	_	4		-	_		
Possible Hazard Ide	ntification		Sample Dispo	eal							_							
		□ Rad	☐ Return to				Archive	e for	1	Month		Reque				nd Tin		☐ 21 Days
☐ Flammable	☐ Poison	☐ Unknown	Disposal b		are retained longer than						-	□ 31	Days	(Rush)) [□ 14	1 Days	S □ Other
	1			sessed it samples	are recarried longer mai	n 3 mor	iuns)	Car	farls	irbill		Rush is	emai	l or fax	data u	nless p	reviou	sly approved)
elinquished by: (Signa	ture)			Received by: (Si	gnature)			1	110117			Date		Tim		Shippe	ed to:	
alinguished bit (Size	U-417			m	6/3/5 0840					DataCl	hem I	aboratories, Inc.						
emiquisited by: (Signa	nquished by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature)			//	-	***************************************				Date		Tim	e 9	960 West LeVoy Drive				
elinquished by: (Signat	ture)			Danilo dh. 121		_									E	hone	: (800	ly, UT 84123 I) 356-9135
ထ				Received by: (Sig	gnature)							Date		Tim	e F	hone	: (801) 266-7700) 268-9992
		44.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4		M/hita tat	4													em.com
				White - Labora	tory Copy	Vel	DW -	Client	Canu									

Case 37402

CLP Sample ID	Field Sample number	Matrix	Collection date	Collection time	Analysis requested
MH2600	SC-SW-1	water	6/2/2008	13:15	TM by ICP-MS (1554.1)
MH2601	SC-SW-1	water (DM)	6/2/2008	13:15	DM by ICP-MS (1554.1)
MH2608	SC-SW-1	soil	6/2/2008	13:15	TM/SPLP (1553.0)
MH2602	SC-SW-2	water	6/2/2008	14:30	TM by ICP-MS (1554.1)
MH2603	SC-SW-2	water (DM)	6/2/2008	14:30	DM by ICP-MS (1554.1)
MH2609	SC-SW-2	soil	6/2/2008	14:30	TM/SPLP (1553.0)
MH2604	SC-SW-3	water	6/2/2008	15:15	TM by ICP-MS (1554.1)
MH2605	SC-SW-3	water (DM)	6/2/2008	15:15	DM by ICP-MS (1554.1)
MH2610	SC-SW-3	soil	6/2/2008	15:15	TM/SPLP (1553.0)
MH2606	SC-SW-4	water	6/2/2008	16:00	TM by ICP-MS (1554.1)
MH2607	SC-SW-4	water (DM)	6/2/2008	16:00	DM by ICP-MS (1554.1)
MH2611	SC-SW-4	soil	6/2/2008	16:00	TM/SPLP (1553.0)

Request for Quote (RFQ) for Modified Analysis

Date: April 22, 2008

Subject: Modification Reference Number: 1553.0

Title: SPLP for ICP-AES
Sample Matrix: Soil/Sediment
Fraction Affected: Metals
Statement of Work: ILM05.4

Purpose:

The Contractor Laboratory is requested to perform the following modified analyses under the Inorganic Statement of Work (SOW) ILM05.4, based on the additional specifications listed below. Unless specifically modified by this modification, all analyses, Quality Control (QC), and reporting requirements specified in SOW ILM05.4 remain unchanged and in full force and effect. The number of samples requested in this modification is not guaranteed.

Please note that accepting a modified analysis request is voluntary, and that the Laboratory is not required to accept the modified analysis. There will be no adverse effect to the Laboratory for not accepting the modified analysis request. However, once the Laboratory accepts the request for modified analysis, it shall perform the analysis in accordance with this modification and as specified in SOW ILM05.4.

The Laboratory is requested to review the modification described herein, determine whether or not it shall accept the requested modified analyses, and complete the attached response form. The Laboratory shall provide comments in response to the required changes in the designated area, in order to ensure that the modified analysis can be completed in accordance with the specifications described herein.

Notice to Contractors: Acceptance of Modified Analysis samples will not count against the monthly capacity.

Modification to the SOW Specifications:

The contract Laboratory shall extract soil/sediment samples by Method SW-846 1312 [Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure] using extraction fluid number two.

The Laboratory shall digest the SPLP extracts by preparation methods HW1 or MW1, and analyze for the Target Analyte List (TAL) by ICP-AES as indicated on the Traffic Report/Chain of Custody record.

The Laboratory shall analyze the SPLP extraction blanks as preparation blanks (PBW).

The Laboratory shall perform the Matrix Spike at the SOW specified levels.

The Laboratory shall report SPLP results as aqueous samples.

Clarifications/Revisions to the RFQ for Modified Analysis:

The Laboratory shall provide raw data [laboratory bench sheets, logs, notebook pages] for the SPLP procedure. This will include the initial determination of percent solids, amount of liquid (if any) separated from the solid phase, mass of solids material, and volume of extraction fluid used.

Reporting Requirements:

Hardcopy and electronic data reporting are required as specified per SOW ILM05.4. All hardcopy and electronic data shall be adjusted to incorporate modified specifications. This includes attaching a copy of the requirements for modified analysis to the SDG Narrative. If specific problems occur with incorporation of the modified analysis into the hardcopy and/or electronic deliverable, the Laboratory shall contact the DASS Manager within the Sample Management Office (SMO) at (703) 818-4233 or via email at CCSSUPPORT@fedcsc.com for resolution.

All samples and/or fractions assigned to an SDG shall be analyzed under the same Modified Analysis requirements as established in this memorandum. The Laboratory shall not include data from multiple Modified Analyses in one SDG.

The Laboratory shall include the Modification Reference Number 1553.0 on each hardcopy data form under the "NRAS No:" header appearing on each form as well as the "NRAS No." field on the Record type 21 of the electronic deliverable (if diskette deliverable is required). The Laboratory shall also document the Modification Reference Number and Solicitation Number on the SDG Coversheet.

,	-	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Laboratory Name: Laboratory Comments:		